

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2006  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S122590****FREEMAN (FRED H.) ON H.C.**

Opinion filed: OSC discharged.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus will be resolved by a separate order. (*In re Thomas, supra*, 37 Cal.4th at p.1277.)

Opinion by Baxter, J.

----- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Werdegard, Moreno, Boland (CA 2/8), assigned, and Haller (CA 4/1), assigned, JJ.

(note: Chin and Corrigan, JJ., did not participate)

**S131818**

A104822 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**SAN FRANCISCO FIREFIGHTERS  
LOCAL 798 v. CITY & COUNTY  
OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed with directions to reinstate the trial court's judgment denying the Union's petition for a writ of mandate.

Opinion by Moreno, J.

-----joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegard, Chin & Corrigan, JJ.

**S029843****PEOPLE v. BECK & CRUZ**

Extension of time granted to July 21, 2006 to file appellant Cruz's opening brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender William T. Lowe's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by the end of December 2006.

**S079925****PEOPLE v. MORA & RANGEL**

Extension of time granted to July 21, 2006 to file appellant Mora's opening brief.

**S136687****COOK (WALTER J.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted to June 20, 2006 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Aileen Bunney's representation that she anticipates filing that document by June 20, 2006.

**S142741****CLARK (ROYAL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted to July 24, 2006 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General William K. Kim's representation that he anticipates filing that document by September 22, 2006.

**S143138****PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (PEDRO C.)**

Transferred to CA 2 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.